Mid-term appraisal of the Seventh Plan

1584. SHRI KALPNATH RAI;

SHRI RASHEED MASOOD:

SHRI SUN1L BASU RAY:

SHRI NEPALDEV BHATTA-CHARIEE:

Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state;

(a) whethe$_r$ any mid-term appraisal of the current Plan has been under-taken;

(b) whether it is a fact that the plan ' is faced- with a large amount of resources gap;

(c) whether it is proposed to rephase some of the plan priorities in the light of the facts 'at (a) and (^{b}) above; and

(d) the steps proposed to attract ad-ditional resources for the plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BIREN SINGH ENG-TI): (a) The Mid-Term Appraisal of the Seventh Five Year Plan >s i$_n$ the final stages °f completion.

(b) to (d) The document afte$_r$ finali-sation will be laid on toe Table of the House.

Outlay for the States

1585. SHRI RAMNARAYAN GO-SWAMI: Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state what was the outlay in the 6th Five Year Plan and in the 7th Five Year Plan for different States so as to bring the poor above the poverty line and the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BIREN SINGH ENGTI): Against the ^{6t}h Plan approved outlay of Rs. 47204 crores (for 22 States), the 7th Pl'an was fixed at Rs. 78097 crores, which showed a step up of 65.45 per cent State-wise position is given in the enclosed state, ment *(See* below).

Apart from the general thrust of the planned development, amongst others, to ameliorate the conditions of the poor, there are certain specific poverty alleviation programmes like; IRDP, NREP, and RLEGP. During the 7th Plan, a$_n$ allocation of Rs. 5130.46 crore$_s$ has been mad' for IRDP and NREP programmes and a provision of Rs. 1743.78 crores ha$_s$ beer earmarked for 100 per cent Centrally-sponsored RLEG Programme. These programmes aim *o provide necessary assistance to identified group families living below the poverty line through:

(i) provision of income generating assets for improving their economic conditions; and

(ii) giving them wage employment.

Statement

Outlays originally agreed for the Sixth and Seventh Five Year Plans—States

(Rs. *crores*)

States	Sixth Plan 1980-85	Seventh Plan 1985-90	Percentage increase of 7th Plan over 6th Plan
Andhra Pradesh	3100.00	5200.00	67.74
Assam	1115.00	2100.00	88.34
Bihar	3225.00	5100.00	58.14

1	2	3	4
Gujarat	3680.00	6000.00	63.04
Haryana	1800.00	2900.00	61.11
Himachal Pradesh	560.00	1050.00	87.50
J & K	900.00	1400.00	55.56
Karnataka	2265.00	3500.00	54.53
Kerala	1550.00	2100.00	35.48
Madhya Pradesh	3800.00	7000.00	84.21
Maharashtra	6175.00	10500.00	70.04
Manipur	240.00	430.00	79.17
Meghalaya	235.00	440.00	87.23
Nagaland	210.00	400.00	90.48
Orissa	1500.00	2700.00	80.00
Punjab	1957.00	3285.00	67.86
Rajasthan	2025.00	3000.00	48.15
Sikkim	122.00	230.00	88.52
Tamil Nadu	3150.00	5750.00	82.54
Tripura	245.00	440.00	79.59
Uttar Pradesh	5850.00	10447.00	78.58
West Bengal	3500.00	4125.00	17.86
TOTAL—STATES	47204.00	78097.00	65.45

Resource mobilisation by the States

1586. SHRI NEPALDEV BHATTACHARJEE; Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state:

(a) the total additional resources required to be mobilised by the different States during the Seventh Plan, State-wise;

(b) the total additional resources so far mobilised by the different States during the first three years of the plan, State-wise;

(c) whether there is any proposal to transfer more resources to the States so that they could meet their needs;

(d) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(e) if answer to part (c) above be in the negative what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BIREN SINGH ENGTI): (a) and (b) A statement is attached *(See* below) indicating State-wise target of additional resource mobilisation for the Seventh Plan and the net amount estimated to have been mobilised during the first three years of the Plan.

(c) to (e) There is no proposal at present to increase tie central assistance **for** State Plans as provided in the Union Budget 1988-89. Taking into account the States own resources and Central assistances allocated to them, Annual Plans of States for 1988-89 have been finalised which are folly funded.